

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,103	09/13/2000	Alex Dubrovsky	EMC00-001(00010)	7599	
7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER			
Barry W Chapin Esq			DUONG, THOMAS		
Chapin & Huang LLC Westborough Office Park			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1700 West Park Drive Westborough, MA 01581			2143	5	
Westbolough, WA 01361			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/661,103	DUBROVSKY ET AL.				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas Duong	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reference in the statutory minimum of thire individually apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 <u>March 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the applicati	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/661,103

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Response to Amendment

2. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on March 29, 2004 (Paper No.

4). The amendment filed on March 29, 2004 has been entered and made of record.

The original application contained *claims 1-27*. In the amendment filed on March 29, 2004, the Applicants added *claims 28-35*. There are *no claims* allowed. Hence, *claims 1-35* are presented for further consideration and examination.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments, see pg.13, para.5, line 1 – pg.14, para.1, line 6, filed March 29,
 2004, with respect to *claims 1, 12, 24 and 27* have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spector (US006377988B1).
- 6. With regard to claims 1, 12, 24, 27 and 33, Spector reference discloses,
 - receiving a generic zone control command; (Spector, col.4, lines 6-12; col.5, lines 14-22; Spector teaches of the translators ultimately receiving the generic instructions from the user's inputs via the generic instruction generator)
 - translating the generic zone control command to at least one vendor specific
 device command of a plurality of vendor specific, device commands that
 respectively control zoning in a plurality of different vendor devices; and (Spector,
 col.4, lines 12-16; col.5, lines 22-28; Spector teaches of the translators
 translating the generic instructions from the user's inputs via the generic

Art Unit: 2143

instruction generator into a form which can be handled by a respective switching system)

- performing functions associated with the at least one vendor specific device
 command to control zoning in a device (Spector, col.4, lines 33-38; col.6, lines 813; Spector teaches of the switches receiving the specific instructions, which are
 generated from the generic instructions by the translators and instruction
 generators, and suggests that the specific instructions can be executed
 immediately or at a predetermined time by the respective switches)
- 7. With regard to *claims 2-4 and 13-15*, Spector reference discloses,
 - identifying a vendor of at least one device within a zone corresponding to the
 generic zone control command; and (Spector, col.4, lines 16-22; col.5, lines 2950; Spector teaches of matching (identifying) and selecting the switches to which
 the specific instructions are to be sent)
 - selecting a set of vendor specific device commands, from the plurality of vendor specific device commands that respectively control zoning in devices from different vendors, that corresponds to the vendor of at least one device within the zone (Spector, col.4, lines 16-22; col.5, lines 29-50; Spector teaches of matching (identifying) and selecting the switches to which the specific instructions are to be sent)
 - identifying devices within the zone that are affected by the generic zone control
 command; and (Spector, col.4, lines 16-22; col.5, lines 29-50; Spector teaches of
 matching (identifying) and selecting the switches to which the specific
 instructions are to be sent base on the selection pattern or criteria)

Art Unit: 2143

identifying vendors of the devices within the zone that are affected by the generic
zone control command (Spector, col.4, lines 16-22; col.5, lines 29-50; Spector
teaches of matching (identifying) and selecting the switches to which the specific
instructions are to be sent base on the selection pattern or criteria)

- 8. With regard to *claims 5-11, 16-19, 25-26, 28-32 and 34-35*, Spector reference discloses,
 - the plurality of vendor specific device commands include sets of vendor specific device commands; and (Spector, col.4, lines 16-22; col.5, lines 29-50; col.10, lines 45-57; col.11, lines 23-29; Spector teaches of matching (identifying) and selecting the switches to which the specific instructions are to be sent base on the selection pattern or criteria. Furthermore, Spector teaches of the translators translating the generic instructions to specific instructions which can be handled by a respective switch by mapping the generic control to an exchange-specific control)
 - wherein the step of translating includes the steps of:
 - o selecting a set of vendor specific device commands that can control zoning within a device to which the generic zone control command is directed; and (Spector, col.4, lines 12-16; col.5, lines 22-28; col.10, lines 45-57; col.11, lines 23-29; Spector teaches of the translators translating the generic instructions from the user's inputs via the generic instruction generator into a form which can be handled by a respective switching system)
 - o dynamically loading the set of vendor specific device commands into a management application to allow the management application to control zoning within the device to which the generic zone control command is directed (Spector, col.4, lines 12-16; col.5, lines 22-28; col.10, lines 45-57;

Art Unit: 2143

col.11, lines 23-29; Spector teaches of the translators translating the generic instructions from the user's inputs via the generic instruction generator into a form which can be handled by a respective switching system)

- selecting the at least one vendor specific device command, within the set of vendor specific device commands, that performs zoning operations, in the device to which the generic zone control command is directed, in accordance with the generic zone control command; and (Spector, col.4, lines 12-16; col.5, lines 22-28; col.10, lines 45-57; col.11, lines 23-29; Spector teaches of the translators translating the generic instructions from the user's inputs via the generic instruction generator into a form which can be handled by a respective switching system)
- mapping parameters of the generic zone control command to parameters of the
 at least one vendor specific device command to provide the vendor specific
 device command with data required to perform the zoning operations in the
 device (Spector, col.10, lines 45-57; col.11, lines 23-29; Spector teaches of the
 translators translating the generic instructions to specific instructions which can
 be handled by a respective switch by mapping the generic control to an
 exchange-specific control)

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicants' disclosure:
 - Glitho et al. (US005991803A)
 - Beckwith et al. (US006330598B1)

Application/Control Number: 09/661,103

Art Unit: 2143

Gonda et al. (US006662221B1)

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner

should be directed to Thomas Duong whose telephone number is 703/305-1886. The

Examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703/308-5221. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9306 for

regular communications and 703/872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-3900.

Thomas Duong (AU2143)

June 9, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100